

February 5, 2007

Challeman Ho en & Members

National India Gaming Commission 140 L. Stree, N.W. - 10th Floor

Wa ington, ... C. 20005

Fax 202-632-7066

Des Chairman Hogen & Members of the Commission:

It has some to our attention that the Commission is considering significant revisions to the proposed class II technical standards based on the recommendations of an ad hoc working gro of game machine manufacturers which have developed a set of processed revisions to the proposed rule. We have also been informed that the NIGC may decide no to issue the classification standards and issue only technical standards regulations. To all governments should have a copportunity to comment on any significant amendments price to the promalgation of any final rule on technical standards.

We have been informed that the manufacturers were able to achieve gubstantial con onsus in developing the recommendations, which is encouraging. Ne ortholess, Tribal gov inments thust have a chance to comment on any significant amendments. At present, we are nor lear as to the Commission's actual plant in relation to these rulemaking activities. Under the fireumstarces, we will address both contingencies. If the Commission plans to proceed with both classification and technical standards regulations, we view it as essential that tribal gov anments have a full and fair opportunity to review both sets of regulations and offer additional continents, particularly since the two proposed rules are so closely related. If the NICO plans only to proceed with technical standards, we view it as essented that tribal governments have a full and fair opportunity to review and comment on the final proposal.

While we are hopeful that the Commission's decision to allow the manufacturer's an opportunity for input produced worthwhile results that will ultimately access to the benefit of tribe governments, the Commission's ultimate responsibility is to carry out its mission on a gov nument-to-government basis with representatives of tribal governments. Moreover, it is not at a unusual or federal agencies to publish multiple versions of the same stoposed rules part sularly in sulemakings affecting substantial rights or economic impacts. In this case, both















circ instances are present; hence we assert that due process and the AFA togical outgrowth" rule weigh in two of the NIGC's re-publication of the technical standard. For further comment afte, they are conended.

Sincerely,

Delores Pigsley Tribal Chairman

Receive: 02-05-07 01:06pm From-5414449325 To-2026327066 Page 193

Confederate of Tribes of Silety Incline

P.O. Box 5

Siletz, OR. -7380 1-800-922- 800 ext. 1205 Pax # 541-114-8325

of Council Of Electrical

Te:			Fax: Date:	202-632-7066 February 5, 2007 3 (including cover page)	
Fran:					
Re.	Class II Technical Standards		Pages:		
CU:		and the second s			
□ lige	t ☐ For Review ☐ Please C		omme nt	☐ Please Rop.	Li Plesso kacyola
	Y41X-12 EL-B-112 112 112 112 112 112 112 112	**************************************			A Passer as appropriate

A STATE OF THE STA